IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 715 OF 2005

Shantabai Narayan Mule ..Applicant.
V/s.

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Shri Jayesh B. Kocheta for the Applicant.
Shri V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP for Respondent - State.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 07,2005.

PC :-

. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. Even accepting the prosecution case as it is, all that is mentioned in the FIR to implicate the applicant, is that the applicant was harassing the deceased. The allegation in so far as the applicant is concerned, is very vague. The learned APP is unable to point out any specific case made out against the applicant or statement of any witness. More over, the applicant being female and as investigation is complete and chargesheet has been filed; taking over all view of the matter, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail on the following conditions :-.

(a) The Applicant is granted bail in connection with C.R.No.69/2004, registered with Lonawala Rural Police Station, Dist. Pune on executing personal bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only) with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

(b) The Applicant shall not leave jurisdiction of the concerned police station without prior intimation to the investigating officer as well as trial court.

(c) The Applicant shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the trial court is of the opinion that the applicant is responsible for delay in trial that fact be recorded in the Rozanama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

(d) The Applicant shall not influence the prosecution witness or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

3. Ordered accordingly.

.....